

Steve Calcaterra <stevecalcaterra@gmail.com>

## Fwd: Kresch v. Metra

1 message

German Salamanca <germansalamanca1@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 19, 2019 at 5:13 PM

To: stevecalcaterra@gmail.com

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: German Salamanca < gsalamanca@METRARR.COM>

Date: March 17, 2019 at 4:21:10 AM PDT

To: "GERMANSALAMANCA1@GMAIL.COM" < GERMANSALAMANCA1@GMAIL.COM>

Subject: FW: Kresch v. Metra

From: Marchell Redmond

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 8:01 AM

To: German Salamanca < gsalamanca@METRARR.COM>

Cc: Michael D. O'Neill <mdoneill@metrarr.com>; Bryan Mack <BMack@METRARR.COM>

Subject: RE: Kresch v. Metra

Your meeting has been rescheduled for Thursday, March 14<sup>th</sup> at 11:00 a.m. Please confirm your attendance.

Marchell Redmond | Executive Administrative Assistant, Police Services

Metra Police Department |547 W. Jackson Blvd, 9th Floor, Chicago, IL 60661

312-322-6922 | Office

312-322-8905 | Fax

312-235-9507 | Cell

mredmond@metrarr.com



EXHIBIT

A

From: German Salamanca

Sent: Sunday, March 10, 2019 7:08 AM

To: Marchell Redmond <a href="mailto:mredmond@METRARR.COM">mredmond@METRARR.COM</a>>

Subject: RE: Kresch v. Metra

I'm scheduled off on Monday, I'd like to confirm the Law Dept. before I head in. Thanks

From: Marchell Redmond

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2019 7:28 AM

To: German Salamanca < gsalamanca@METRARR.COM>

Subject: RE: Kresch v. Metra

Thank you for your quick response. I'll notify the Law Department of your availability.

#### Marchell

From: German Salamanca

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2019 7:26 AM

To: Marchell Redmond <a href="mailto:mredmond@METRARR.COM">mredmond@METRARR.COM</a>>

Subject: RE: Kresch v. Metra

Good Morning, I am available.

From: Marchell Redmond

Sent: Thursday, March 07, 2019 3:10 PM

To: Ronald Davis <RDavis@METRARR.COM>; German Salamanca <gsalamanca@METRARR.COM>;

Kraig Elzia < KElzia@METRARR.COM>; Reginald E. Harris < RHarris@METRARR.COM>

Cc: Pam Post <papost@METRARR.COM>

Subject: Kresch v. Metra

Please let me know as soon as you can if you're available to meet with Attorney Stephen Goins (Metra) regarding Kresch v. Metra on the following dates:

Ron Davis – tomorrow, March 8<sup>th</sup> at 2 pm

Germain Salamanca – Monday, March 11<sup>th</sup> at 10 am

Kraig Elzia – Thursday, March 14<sup>th</sup> at 12 pm

Reginald Harris – Thursday, March 14<sup>th</sup> at 1 pm

Case: 1:18-cv-02468 Document #: 76-1 Filed: 05/14/20 Page 3 of 12 PageID #:647 Thanks.

Marchell Redmond | Executive Administrative Assistant, Police Services

Metra Police Department |547 W. Jackson Blvd, 9th Floor, Chicago, IL 60661

312-322-6922 | Office

312-322-8905 | Fax

312-235-9507 | Cell

mredmond@metrarr.com



### **Disclaimer**

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more Click Here.



# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

| ) |       |                    |  |
|---|-------|--------------------|--|
| ) |       |                    |  |
| ) |       |                    |  |
| ) |       |                    |  |
| ) |       |                    |  |
| ) |       |                    |  |
| ) |       |                    |  |
| ) | No.:  | 18 C 2468          |  |
| ) | Honor | rable Charles P. K | ocoras   |
| ) |       |                    |  |
| ) |       |                    |  |
| ) |       |                    |  |
| ) |       |                    |  |
| ) |       |                    |  |
| ) |       |                    |  |
|   |       | ,                  | ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) No.: 18 C 2468 ) Honorable Charles P. Ko |

### AFFIDAVIT OF GERMAN SALAMANCA

- 1. I, German Salamanca, have been employed by Metra as a Police Officer since May 18, 2009.
- 2. Since February, 2016, I have been an executive board member of the Metropolitan Alliance of Police, Chapter 267 ("Organization") and was elected to the position of Vice President.
- 3. As Vice President of the Organization, I have met numerous times with the Organization attorney Steve Calcaterra and with the other executive board members to discuss confidential information, strategies and communications related to this lawsuit and to the operation of the Organization.
- 4. I periodically receive instructions from the Chief of Police, which are relayed by his Administrative Assistant Marchell Redmond ("Redmond").
- 5. On March 7, 2019, I received an email from Redmond telling me to meet with Metra's attorney Stephen Goins ("Goins"), regarding this lawsuit.
- 6. I understand that as a Metra Police Officer I must obey the instructions that are sent to me by Redmond.

- 7. I believed that I was being ordered to meet with Goins.
- 8. I believed that if I could be disciplined, up to and including discharge, if I refused this order.
- 9. On March 14, 2019, I was at the Metra office for collective bargaining. I left part-way through the meeting to meet with Goins, alone in his office.
- 10. Goins did not tell me that I had any right to representation by legal counsel.
- 11. Goins never asked me if I was represented by an attorney in this lawsuit.
- 12. Approximately ten (10) minutes into the interview, Goins asked me what my position was with the Organization.
- 13. I told Goins that I am Vice President of the Organization.
- 14. After I told him that I am the Vice President of the Organization, Goins continued to question me.
- 15. I told Goins that I attended several meetings and witnessed numerous conversations in my role as the Vice President of the Organization.
- During the interview, I told Goins a great deal of information about what I witnessed and knew about this case
- 17. I don't know if I told Goins any information that would be considered privileged.
- 18. I don't know if the interview was recorded, however, Goins took several pages of handwritten notes.
- 19. I don't have any notes or records from the interview.
- 20. The entire interview lasted approximately one (1) hour.
- 21. I returned to the collective bargaining session when my meeting was over.
- 22. After the meeting was over, my attorney asked me where I went during the negotiation session and I informed him that I had been ordered to meet with Goins to discuss the lawsuit.

| 23. | Under penalties as provided by law.  | I certify that | the statements | set fortl | n herein | are | true | and |
|-----|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|----------|-----|------|-----|
|     | correct and to the best of my knowle | edge.          |                |           |          |     |      |     |

| Robert   |
|--|
| "OFFICIAL SEAL"  |
| R. SHAH  |
| NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS A MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 7/16/2019 |
| MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 7/16/2019 3                                  |
| mmmmm  |

| Signed this <u>A</u> day of March 2019                          |
|---|
| By: German Salamanca  |
| Subscribed and sworn to before me this<br>25 day of March 2019. |
| Robert  |
| Notary Public, Illinois   |
| My commission expires 07-16-2019.                               |

"This is an original document"

State of Illinois - County of Cook

This instrument was acknowledged before me on 03-25-19 (Detail by

Garman Salamanca

# THE LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN CALCATERRA & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

March 19, 2019

Stephen Goins, Esq. METRA 547 w. Jackson Chicago, IL 60661

Via email at sgoins@metrarr.com

Re: Metropolitan Alliance of Police, et. al. v. Metra, et. al.

18cv2468

Dear Mr, Goins,

It has come to my attention that on March 14, 2019, Metropolitan Alliance of Police Vice President, German Salamanca, was compelled to meet privately with you, in your office, for the purposes of discussing the above listed case. At this meeting you questioned him his role in the union along with details about his union activities which permeate this litigation.

I am struggling to determine how this meeting was not a violation of Ill.S.Ct. RPC Rule 4.2, which states "[i]n representing a client, a lawyer shall not communicate about the subject of the representation with a person the lawyer knows to be represented by another lawyer in the matter, unless the lawyer has the consent of the other lawyer or is authorized to do so by law or a court order." I was not made aware of this meeting until after it had concluded, however Mr. Salamaca told you that he is a Vice President of the local organization that is the plaintiff in a lawsuit against Metra, yet you continued to interview him. In an effort to give you the benefit of the doubt and resolve this without court intervention, please provide me with full detail the purpose and content of this meeting, along with an explanation including relevant caselaw as to why this meeting is not a violation of Rule 4.2 and why I should not seek sanctions against Metra for this action.

For the sake of clarity, the following Metra employees are executive Board Members of the local organization and are represented by counsel:

Joseph Kresch, President German Salamanca, Vice President Sharlene Tucker, Second Vice President

You are advised that your office may not communicate directly with them regarding this litigation matter.

Yours Truly, /s/ T. Steven Calcaterra T. Steven Calcaterra

Cc: MAP J.Kresch G. Salamaca





#### Steve Calcaterra <stevecalcaterra@gmail.com>

# Represented client MAP v. METRA 18cv2468

1 message

Steve Calcaterra <stevecalcaterra@gmail.com>

Mon, May 4, 2020 at 12:07 PM

To: Stephen Goins <SGoins@metrarr.com>

Bcc: Steve Calcaterra <stevecalcaterra@gmail.com>, Sharlene Tucker <map267union@gmail.com>

Mr. Goins -

I have tried to contact you by telephone at both your office and mobile numbers, however the mailboxes are both full and cannot accept additional messages.

On March 19, 2019 advised you, in writing, not to contact any MAP Board Member regarding the above listed litigation, because I represent them in this matter. You are aware that they are represented by my office, yet I was contacted by MAP President Sharlene Tucker who told me that you called her today and began asking her questions regarding Mr. Kresch and this litigation. For your recollection, I am attaching my March 19 correspondence to this email.

This is a notice for you and your office to cease and desist from contacting any Board Member or Elected Official from the Metropolitan Alliance of Police regariding this or any other matter, without notifiying me and obtaining my consent first.

If there is some reason why you believe that this is not a violation of III. S.Ct. RPC Rule 4.2, which states "[i]n representing a client, a lawyer shall not communicate about the subject of the representation with a person the lawyer knows to be represented by another lawyer in this matter, unless the lawyer has the consent of the other lawyer or is authorized to do so by a law or a court orter," please provide this reasoning.

Yours truly,

Steve Calcaterra

COVID-19 NOTICE: We are closely monitoring information available, including CDC recommendations, and is making every effort to maintain its ability to provide clients with legal services without interruption while also protecting health and safety. In order to reduce the risk of exposure and to do our part in "flattening the curve," I will be working remotely through at least May 30th. Your patience is appreciated in the event that there is a delay in responding to e-mails. During this interim, please do not send any "hard copy" correspondece, as those will not be received. Instead, please send any and all correspondence via email to this address.





#### Steve Calcaterra <stevecalcaterra@gmail.com>

# Kresch v. Metra et al, 18 c 2468

1 message

Stephen Goins <SGoins@metrarr.com>

Tue, May 5, 2020 at 3:01 PM

Ε

To: Steve Calcaterra <stevecalcaterra@gmail.com>

Cc: Aruj Chaudhry < A Chaudhry @metrarr.com >, Tom Platt < TPlatt@metrarr.com >

Steve

Steve,

Rule of Professional Conduct 4.2 states that, "In representing a client, a lawyer shall **not communicate about the subject of the representation** with a person the lawyer knows to be represented by another lawyer in the matter...."

MAP has been dismissed from the case since August 31, 2018 because the court found that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over the Railway Labor Act claims. Since that time, my clients have not been at issue with MAP in regard to any matter in the case. During the call, nothing was discussed regarding MAP's claims brought in the case. Nothing precludes Metra counsel from contacting potential witnesses regarding their knowledge concerning claims brought by Mr. Kresch. When Ms. Tucker declined to answer questions and referred me to MAP's attorneys, the conversation ended.

If you have some other authority that supports your contention that Metra counsel is precluded from contacting a potential witness, please forward for my review.

Thank you.

Stephen G. Goins

Attorney II | Metra

P: 312-322-7073 | sgoins@metrarr.com

C: 312-919-4163

547 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60661



**Metra** is one of the largest and most complex commuter rail systems in North America, serving Cook, DuPage, Will, Lake, Kane and McHenry counties in Northeastern Illinois. The agency provides service to and from downtown Chicago with 241 stations over 11 routes totaling nearly 500 route miles and approximately 1,200 miles of track. Metra operates more than 700 weekday trains, providing about 300,000 passenger trips each weekday.

Like us on Facebook: Metra

5/8/2020 Case: 1:18-cv-02468 Document #: Total Kriterily: Obj. 14/20 Rages 10 of 12 PageID #:654

Follow us on Twitter: @Metra

Visit us at www.metrarail.com

#### **Disclaimer**

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more Click Here.



# ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION of the SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

# REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION

or

Use this form to request an investigation of:

- 1) an Illinois lawyer;
- 2) a non-Illinois lawyer who has provided legal services in Illinois; or
- 3) a non-lawyer who you are claiming has engaged in the unauthorized practice of law in Illinois.

Return the completed form by mail or facsimile to:

ARDC 130 E. Randolph Dr., Ste. 1500 Chicago, IL 60601-6219 Phone: (312) 565-2600 or (800) 826

Phone: (312) 565-2600 or (800) 826-8625

Fax: (312) 565-2320

**ARDC** 

3161 W. White Oaks Dr., Ste. 301

Springfield, IL 62704

Phone: (217) 546-3523 or (800) 252-8048

Fax: (217) 546-3785

| 1. Your name:Tony Ste   | eve Calcaterra                      |                          |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Street address: 24w500 M  | aple Ave., Suite 208                |                          |
| City: Naperville  | State: Illinois                     | Zip: 60540               |
| Home phone: 630-569-5075  | Work phone: 630-281-2532            | Cell phone: 630-569-5075 |
| E-mail address: stevecalcate                                      | erra@gmail.com                      |                          |
| 2. Name of lawyer/person yo                                       |                                     | oins                     |
| Name of law firm or business:                                     |                                     |                          |
| Street address: 547 w. Jac  | RSON 13th Ploof                     |                          |
| City: Chicago   | State: Illinois                     | Zip:60661                |
| Phone: 312-322-7073   |                                     |                          |
| E-mail address:SGoins@i   | metrarr.com                         |                          |
| 3. Have you previously contained figures, when and how did you of |                                     | Yes Nox                  |
| 4. Did you employ the lawye                                       | r/person you are complaining about: | Yes No x                 |
| 4a. If you answered yes to qu                                     | uestion 4:                          |                          |
| When did the employment s   | start?                              |                          |
| What was the fee agreement  | t?                                  |                          |
| 2. Name of fact of the  |                                     |                          |
| How much have you paid th   | ne lawyer/person to date?           | EXHIBI                   |

over

| 4b. | If you answered no to question 4 what is your connection to the lawyer/person?   |
|-----|--|
|     |  |
| 5.  | If your request relates to a court case or other proceeding, please provide the following:   |
| Na  | me of court or agency: U.S. Dist. Ct. N.D.IL.  |
| Na  | me of case: Metropolitan Alliance of Police and Kresch v. Metra and Perez  |
| Cas | se number: 2018 cv 2468  |
| 6.  | Please explain your complaint(s). Include important dates and names of witnesses and others involved. Use additional pages if necessary. Attach copies of documents that support your complaint, such as fee agreements, receipts, checks, letters and court papers. |
|     | I represent a labor union and the (now) former Union President as plaintiffs in a federal lawsuit against his employer, Metra Goines represents the defendant, Metra. In March 2019, Goins used his authority as the   |
|     | attorney of the employer to require that the Union Vice President (Salamanca), to meet with him and discuss the litigation. Pursuant to FRCP 26(c), I attempted to resolve this issue with Goins and to make sure that he  |
|     | understood that I did represent Salamanca and the other Union Board Members, and that he was not permitted to speak with them regarding this litigation without my consent. At the time, I believed that this issue was  |
|     | resolved and that perhaps Goins did not understand that I represented all of the Board Members, therefore I did not file an ARDC complaint at that time. On May 4, 2020, Goins placed a telephone call to the current Union  |
| ,   | Board President, Sharlene Tucker, and attempted to ask her questions regarding the Union and the litigation.   |
| -   | Tucker told him that she was represented by counsel and she would not answer his questions. After I learned about this telephone call, I contacted Goins and asked him if he had any legal justification for contacting  |
|     | Tucker without contacting me first. He relies on the fact that the Union has been dismissed as a plaintiff in this litigation and he believes that he can continue to discuss this litigation with the Union Board. This is despite the                              |
|     | fact that the Union is maintained on the litigation and preserved for appeal, and that I have provided him with a written document that advises him not to contact the Union Board Members, by name, because they are my   |
|     | clients. I believe that he is using his authority as the attorney for the employer to disadvantage the plaintiffs and obtain information that he would not otherwise be entitled. Particularly troubling is the fact that  |
|     | continues to assert that he can communicate directly with my clients regarding this litigation, leading me to conclude that Goins never misunderstood that I represented the Union Board Members, but instead that he  |
|     | misunderstands his ethical obligations. I am filing the attached Motion for Sanctions, with exhibits.  |
| Sig | nature: Date: May14 2020   |